



PHOENIX JOURNAL EXPRESS

A weekly bulletin commenting on appropriate current news events, clarification of portions of Journals and answers of a general nature to questions not found in the existing Journals.

PHOENIX JOURNAL EXPRESS is published weekly by America West Publishers, Inc. P.O. BOX 986 Tehachapi, CA. 93581. Subscription rate is \$20 per (13 issues) First Class mailing. COPYRIGHT 1990 by America West Publishers, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction of this copyrighted material for private non-profit use is expressly encouraged, for commercial purposes it is strictly forbidden.

AUGUST 1990 VOLUME III NUMBER 1

7/21/90 HATONN

THE HUBBLE TELESCOPE FIASCO

In response to the many questions pouring in for PROOF that the proper telescope is not orbiting, I shall respond with reason and logical questions. I will not, for security of my people, tell you where it is. I will tell you who to ask for denial of such absurd accusation. Go straight to NASA.

There have been two telescopes built. One of near perfection which the conspirators could never allow in space and one to replace the good one, to be sacrificed. Some of the component parts were even made at the same factory. All sorts of stories covered the duplication such as, "The lens and mirror got scratched, cracked and damaged." Every part of the original telescope functioned perfectly and has functioned perfectly for years. The delays came in portion from getting the counterfeit ready but the actual cause was to stop launching in any manner. So eight years pass in the waiting and testing and there never was a more beautiful piece of equipment built.

Then came the end of the excuses--it had to be launched (well, something had to be launched). The builders were on hand and A telescope had to be loaded aboard the shuttle. The conspirators could

not allow that perfection to go into space for they would never allow the pictures to return to earth because what is out there is not what they have told you is out there. Next, they "had problems" and off-loaded the equipment but, for all manner of "security" and "clean" procedures, the scientists were not allowed to be present with the reloading. Loading was handled most carefully indeed with substitution taking place and when the shuttle was launched and all attention was focused, the Hubble was loaded aboard a transport and removed so there would be no slip-ups.

All you really have to do for confirmation is watch the subcommittee Congressional hearing regarding the malfunction and billions of dollars lost and wasted and the need for more to make it function, including a shuttle trip. Watch the ones who are part of the cover-up; they do not even hide the lies and the ones in charge of the hearings do not ask the questions which will uncover anything at all. They sit and give sympathy to the poor scientists who are so disappointed at failure. The cause of blurred pictures is so blatant in the face of the "good" original "double-star" pictures first "sent back". It is appalling to us of these realms that man bites so thoroughly and so instantly. If the mirror were warped, etc., and records naught but fuzzy images--how could it be the double star

was not in the least blurred? Oh, they will tell you now, that that is what they "thought" was a double star but was actually due to a faulty focus. No--the first pictures shown were fakes and there is no way the public would know differently.

THIS COULD NOT HAPPEN

Couldn't it? Let us go right back to April, 1981. Your entire first four shuttle launches were lies.

On April 12, 1981, after years of delay, America's first attempt to launch a space shuttle into orbit had finally begun.

The voice of "Mission Control" had become a familiar hallmark of American manned flights into space when the airwaves filled with "T minus 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4...We've gone for main engine start. We have main engine start." (Engine noise drowns out other noise for several seconds.) ".... and lo and behold America's first Space Shuttle, and the Shuttle has cleared the tower." (Then again the roaring noise on the tape.)

Looking back to the early days, beginning with "PROJECT MERCURY", the voice was that of Col. John Powers. Later, during the "APOLLO" program there were other voices; but regardless of who it was, that familiar voice of "Mission Control" would always stay with you throughout each

space flight--that is, until that first shuttle. This time the voice of Mission Control, up until the moment of launch, was that of NASA spokesman, Hugh Harris. The last words Harris spoke as the voice of Mission Control were the words you just read: "The Shuttle has cleared the tower."

Television cameras followed the Shuttle as it climbed higher and higher on a column of steam and smoke. For another 30 seconds or so, you were allowed to hear the slowly fading roar of the Shuttle's rocket engines. Then the sounds from Mission Control abruptly changed. Exactly 45 seconds after lift-off, "live" audio from Mission Control was terminated. In its place NASA began feeding the radio and television networks an elaborate recording, which had been prepared far ahead of time by NASA. You must realize, the conspiracy begins work in deceiving far in advance and that is why you ones are so far behind in efforts to even catch up, much less change the course of nations. They put a lot of roaring racket on the tape and nobody could discern anything.

You were still able to see the Columbia by way of long-distance television cameras for another minute and a half, but the sounds you were hearing were no longer "live". They were the sounds of the special NASA tape recording. For the first few minutes of the tape recording, you heard nothing but the sound effects simulating conversation between the Shuttle and NASA-Houston. Then for the first time, you heard the anonymous new voice of Mission Control but who would notice? It changed from the familiar live voice of Hugh Harris, but the recorded voice was someone else. For added realism, the new voice was interrupted in turn by the recorded voice of the alleged capsule communicator, Daniel Brandenstein. Listen in:

First a high-pitched screech followed by: "One minute 45 seconds, coming up on - -(mumbling). Columbia, you're (mumbled words, another screech)" "That call-up says...'Columbia, the altitude is too high...for ejection seat use'..."

By that point the shuttle Columbia was more than 20 miles high, and climbing fast. Everything was going according to plan so far, so the things you were hearing on the tape recording corresponded to what you were seeing. You could still see the Shuttle on your TV sets, but it had dwindled to nothing more than three bright spots dancing in the far distant sky.

The last thing that you were able to see and verify for yourselves about the Shuttle was the separation of those two giant solid-rocket boosters. A little over two minutes after lift-off, you were able to watch the boosters, two burning bright spots, break off to each side. That left only the single tiny flame of the Shuttle itself, gradually fading into invisibility. Several seconds later the NASA tape recording caught up with what you had already seen, and said the boosters had separated. Moments later the tiny bright dot of the Shuttle faded from your screens. It was too far away for the television cameras to follow. You had just had your LAST look at the REAL space shuttle Columbia.

WHY?

A couple of reasons: 1) To completely hide the military nature of the mission; and 2) to make sure the mission looked like a total success, no matter what might happen in secret. At the time the Bolsheviks in the federal government were depending heavily on the Space Shuttle program to get ready for a nuclear first strike war against Russia. Yes, you heard me correctly.

The falsified NASA coverage of the mission of the space shuttle Columbia was carried out exactly according to plan. We'll consider some of the deceit a bit later. The plan was originated and actually "tattled" to the public some two months prior to the so-called launch but no paper dared print it.

During the flight you got some neat cockpit scenes made by training techniques, etc., and the tale was spiced up by telling you that a few non-critical tiles had fallen off, as if any of the crew could see such a thing from inside. Otherwise, you were repeatedly told how perfectly the Columbia was performing.

Well, Hatonn, "Surely someone at those desks would know!" Yes, most knew the hoax but many were seeing exactly that which the public was witnessing, especially when the "wives" came to have a little space visit. "Well, the astronauts must have known"--oh, indeed, indeed, but not in the planning stages for you were dealing with America's elite. Oh, you think an astronaut wouldn't either take bribes or - - -? Seems to me one of your finest has been in Congress and takes bribes right along with others--from the S&L's, no less. Further, a man's family does not fare well if top-secrets are brought public and always--the "National Security" etc., etc.--remember, they were told the Russians were going to launch a

nuclear world war momentarily. What could a little secret hurt if in the long run America was saved by the secret?

On April 23, a news conference about the flight was held in Houston, by the alleged two astronauts, John Young and Robert Crippen. The entity called John Young summed up the flight in words that were more meaningful than most people suspected. Referring to the falsified flight which was followed on television, he called it, ~~quote~~ "...even better than normal". And so it was! The Bolsheviks who now controlled NASA bent over backwards to paint the image of an abnormally perfect shuttle flight. Meanwhile, the actual Shuttle mission, which was carried out in secret, did not go according to plan. After the Shuttle disappeared from your television screens, the flight continued for barely four more minutes before disaster struck. The Columbia never even reached earth orbit!

It is far past time you know the truth of this subterfuge. You must realize how and why the truth has been hidden from you. The stakes involve nothing less than the very survival of your land and your way of life.

ADVANCE PREPARATIONS

You ones work on the adage that "seeing is believing". For that reason, television has become the number one tool of deception in the world today. Through television you are made to see things you do not understand so that you will believe things that are not true. If television were used honestly and constructively, television could be a great force for good. Instead, it is used continually to hoax, deceive, and mislead you. Video-taping makes events which took place weeks or months ago look as if they were taking place "alive" right before your eyes. Computer editing enables scenes

to be spliced together to create completely artificial images that look entirely real. Special effects of all kinds enable these television hoaxes to be very convincing indeed.

There is continuing hoax presentations--most notably projected from your "Meet the Press" program. The granddaddy of the hoaxes, surpassing even the "Guyana" and "SKYLAB" lies, was undoubtedly the space shuttle, Columbia.

For starters, you were led to believe that, until some short time prior, no space shuttle had ever left the earth's atmosphere and gone into space. You were also led to believe that the very first space flight by a shuttle had to be an orbital flight, instead of something less extreme. To make matters still worse, NASA swore up and down that this very first flight, pushing the Shuttle to its limits, just had to have men aboard. At one point even John Young himself was quoted to this effect very widely in the controlled major media.

For example, in February prior, the *New York Times* carried a big article about the Shuttle. Quoting from the article: "Mr. Young said that to have conducted an unmanned orbital flight of the Shuttle first would have added perhaps \$500,000,000 to project costs, and meant another year's delay." Statements like that were cooked up purely to explain away the many things that did not add up about the announced plans for the Columbia's flight. Many people believed these explanations, but they were just a litany of lies.

Time after time during the television coverage of the alleged flight, John Young's earlier statement was totally contradicted. Authoritative spokesmen pointed out over and over that the astronauts control the Shuttle by telling comput-

ers aboard the Shuttle what they want. The computers then do all the actual activation and control of the Shuttle--and, in an emergency, the Shuttle can fly itself into orbit, re-enter, and even land itself without help from the pilots. So much for all those lies NASA told you about an unmanned first flight being impossible. See, they never quite get their stories completely right.

Dharma, allow us to close this for we are out of time and I do not wish to hop about out of order. Let us keep discussion of this matter to minimum at the meeting for we will be giving the entire story and I don't wish to take the time of the "live" group when it can better be read later without errors in discernment, or cause undue time in scanner research.

Hatonn to standby. Salu.

7/24/90 HATONN

Hatonn in light, with instructions first, please.

Please take the article which was mailed to you regarding the "election" of Reagan, for I have been awaiting it. Of all the things people have trouble with believing, the fact that your elections are "fixed" is the most difficult. I request that the section regarding the telescope from the prior writing be added and then we will utilize excerpts from this current write-up regarding Richard Brenneke. Ninety-nine point nine percent of the people will never have heard of Brenneke but this is one of the most blatant, and yet documented examples of subversion at work. This, in itself, would have been enough to incarcerate both Bush and Reagan for treason and impeach Carter. This goes right back to prior to the 1980 election when there were hostages in Iran. If the people do not get stirred from this, I fear nothing can shake the anesthesia of the world citi-

zenry as to how serious is your plight.

ELECTION 1980/HOSTAGES AND MISCELLANEOUS ADVENTURES

This can now be printed without placing in jeopardy, Richard Brenneke, and therefore it will be brought forth in several publications. I desire to have our readers made privy now, for it will never be written in the public press as you gather news into your homes.

Let us consider material from a May 17-23, 1990 issue of a well-known publication from the "Capital" region which I will leave unnamed for the Warburg machine is in a take-over position and I want to give them no further fuel. You ones are all but out of resources and the writer has already been fired. We effort to protect wherein we can do so. I shall effort at summary of the information. The information has been put under top-secret labels and no paper dares print it again.

Shortly before the 1980 general election, a number of Americans secretly flew to Paris. One of them was William Casey, a lawyer and businessman, who was managing Ronald Reagan's campaign for president. Another was George Bush, the Republican vice presidential nominee, who had once headed the Central Intelligence Agency. They were joined in Paris by Donald Gregg, who ran the Central Intelligence Agency's operations in Southeast Asia during the Vietnam War. In the fall of 1980, however, Gregg was on then-President Jimmy Carter's National Security Council.

The three men attended a number of clandestine meetings between Oct. 18-20. The final meeting took place at the Hotel Florida. It involved Casey, Gregg and representatives of the revolutionary Iranian government of the Ayatollah

Ruhollah Khomeini, which was holding 52 Americans hostage. Also present at the meeting were a number of international arms dealers, including Manucher Ghorbanifar, Cyrus Hashemi, Ahmad Heydari and Robert Benes, who had ties to French intelligence.

PURPOSE OF MEETING

The meeting was held to finalize a deal between the Reagan-Bush campaign, rogue elements of the CIA, and the Iranians. The campaign officials were convinced that if Carter were unable to free the hostages before election day, Reagan would be the next president. They offered to give the government of Iran \$40 million in laundered funds to purchase American-made weapons for its war against Iraq. Gregg represented a dissident faction within the CIA that was willing to help ship the weapons from Israel to Iran. In exchange, all the Iranians had to do was hold their hostages until after the 1980 presidential election. And here you thought Oliver North played dirty games?

OUTRAGEOUS STORY

Now who would tell such a story? Richard Brenneke, a property manager in an affluent suburb of Portland, Oregon. Brenneke told his tale to a federal court in Denver on September 23, 1988. He was testifying at a sentencing hearing for Heinrich Rupp, a close friend who had been convicted of bank fraud. The Oregon businessman told the court that his friend was acting under what he thought were orders from the CIA when he committed the fraud. To back up his claim, Brenneke swore that the CIA had employed *him* and Rupp on a number of previous covert operations. One of the operations, he claimed, involved the Paris meetings. According to Brenneke, Rupp was the pilot who flew Casey to Paris. Brenneke

also claimed to have attended the final meeting to help arrange the financing for the "arms for NO hostages" deal.

"The purpose of the meetings was to negotiate, not only for the release of the hostages, but also to discuss 'How we would go about satisfying everybody involved,'" Brenneke told the court.

QUICK ARM OF THE CORRUPT LAW

On May 12, 1989, the U.S. Department of Justice charged Brenneke with five counts of lying to a federal court under oath. The indictment charged that Brenneke lied when he claimed that both he and Rupp had worked for the CIA. The government also charged that Brenneke lied when he said that Casey, Bush and Gregg went to Paris in October of 1980. On May 4, 1990 A 12-MEMBER JURY IN PORTLAND ACQUITTED BRENEKE ON ALL FIVE COUNTS. After the trial, jury foreman, Mark Kristoff, said that the panel of eight women and four men *believed* Brenneke's *entire* story. There was not a single "guilty" vote on any of the five counts.

"We were convinced that, yes, there was a meeting, and he was there and the people listed in the indictment were there," Kristoff told a reporter after the trial. *This means that Reagan and Bush actually conspired with members of the CIA and the government of Iran to steal the 1980 election.* However, since no other witnesses were produced and the hot potato left to cool, it was not necessarily proven due to unjust legal restraints.

Brenneke testified that the Paris meetings took place, he did not produce another witness or other *admissible* evidence to support that claim although there was evidence which was declared non-ad-

missible. The fact remains, that 12 average citizens totally believe him and suggests that the mainstream American news media missed, or covered up, one of the most intriguing and valuable stories of the 80's. I believe we know which.

REPORTS OF THIS COVER-UP

Rumors of the alleged Paris meetings have been reported in recent years *by the European press and your country's "alternative" news media*. Readership is, unfortunately, extremely limited. The meetings also provided the subject of the book, OCTOBER SURPRISE, by former White House researcher, Barbara Honegger. But, until now, the story has been largely ignored by all important opinion-shapers and the network media shows.

The ramifications of Brenneke's acquittal are far from clear thus far for cover-up went into high gear instantly. Several reporters attended the trial and were familiar with the details of the story--and yet they were baffled by many elements of the testimony and evidence. Let us look, however, at the contents of this scenario.

WHO IS RICHARD BREN- NEKE?

Brenneke, 48, seems to have many personalities and diverse activities. He "makes his living" by running GPI Management Inc., a small firm that manages condominiums and apartment houses in upscale Lake Oswego, Oregon. He is also a confirmed thrill-seeker who employs a number of other skills in a never-ending search for adventure. These skills include computer programming, arms dealing and, until his health began deteriorating about five years ago, flying a wide array of airplanes. Now why do you suppose his health began to fail? More remarkable is that he survived at all, and do not

misunderstand--this man is not a friend of "you the people"--he was fighting for his neck. He was actually recognized as "Oregon's best-known 'spook'".

Brenneke has offered his services to a variety of intelligence agencies on a contract basis since the late 1960s. He has worked on covert operations for the CIA, the U.S. Customs Service AND MOSSAD, the Israeli intelligence agency. During the trial, Brenneke presented enough, although a limited amount, of evidence which backed the facts of his involvement.

There are hundreds of "Richard Brennekes" operating in the world at any given time so please do not act shocked. He first became a public figure when the *New York Times* SOMEHOW obtained copies of memos he had written about the Reagan administration's then-secret arms sales to Iran in late 1985 and early 1986 in order to assist a friend who was being railroaded in the "Iran-gate" mess.

If Brenneke was just a small-time CIA operative, why would he have been charged in this case in the first place? Oh, the well runs deep, my friends.

There are a couple of reasons. Thomas O'Rourke, the U.S. attorney in Denver, is an aggressive prosecutor who thought he was doing a good job. O'Rourke had successfully prosecuted Rupp for bank fraud and sincerely believed that Brenneke had lied to help his friend. Worse yet, O'Rourke had a grudge against Rupp's attorney--so legal/lawful and fair prosecution was beside the point (as usual). Rupp's attorney was one labeled Mike Scott, who also defended Brenneke.

The real reason, of course, was to shut up Brenneke. The truth is, by early 1988 Brenneke had become a minor annoyance to the White

House. After Bush was elected vice president in 1980, he hired Gregg to be his national security adviser. Eight years later, when Bush was running for president, Brenneke publicly charged that Gregg had authorized illegal arms sales to the Nicaraguan contra rebels and there was most certainly a conflict of *business interests*. The charges, which could not be buried for the contacts were first to associates at *Newsweek* and *ABC World News Tonight*, ALSO ALLEGED THAT BUSH HAD APPROVED THE ILLEGAL SALES.

After Bush took the office of President in 1989, he named Gregg to be the U.S. Ambassador to South Korea. Brenneke was indicted on May 12, 1989, THE DAY GREGG'S CONFIRMATION HEARINGS BEGAN BEFORE THE U.S. SENATE! At the very least, the indictment effectively prevented anyone from raising Brenneke's accusations during the confirmation hearings. Oh yes, these little operations happen all the time. In fact, I suggest that Oberli make a first move regarding this dwelling because the opposition is working day and night to block further public information; they are looking for any loophole by which they can arrest or charge Dharma and Oberli with some illegal action. They went into full-force action the moment you left the RTC office at Santa Barbara Savings. A word to the wise perhaps? No, I shall not outlay procedures for I desire that you obtain ideas and alternatives from ones coming into your space--we need to counter these things in an earth manner and you have the input necessary from that stance.

Why, then, did the government pursue the case following Gregg's confirmation? The government did not want to take Brenneke to trial. It OFFERED HIM A DEAL, PROMISING TO KEEP BREN-

NEKE OUT OF JAIL AND NOT TO FINE HIM IF HE WOULD SIMPLY PLEAD GUILTY TO THE CHARGES AGAINST HIM. BRENNKE WAS TEMPTED BECAUSE HE SUDDENLY WAS SUFFERING FROM VERY POOR HEALTH AND HAD FILED FOR BANKRUPTCY PROTECTION. You see, *they also shut down every business operation he had going and isolated him from ever again doing business in the covert actions--as part of the bargain.* He rejected the offer because he had lost everything and had quite a bit to gain by now telling the truth.

WHAT PROOF WOULD THE GOVERNMENT NEED?

They did NOT have to prove that the Paris meetings never took place. Instead, they had to show only that Brenneke lied when he said that he and Rupp had worked for the CIA and that Casey, Bush and Gregg had traveled to Paris between October 18 and 20, 1980. You see, this is typical selective prosecution with all other evidence inadmissible. In order to win, the government had to convince the jury beyond a reasonable doubt that Brenneke knew he was lying when he made these statements before the Colorado court. And, who would believe a questionable character against the up-standing officials of purity and public sacrificial service?

GOVERNMENT STRONG CASE?

No, not very. Eldon Hatch, a CIA personnel officer, testified that he could find no employment records for Brenneke or Rupp at his agency. Under cross-examination, however, Hatch admitted that he found "files" on both men. Bush did not testify, but two Secret Service agents swore that he did not leave the country in October 1980. The two agents did not, however, present any documents (travel

schedules, phone records, etc.) to back up their testimony.

Casey, whom Reagan appointed *to head the CIA*, died of a mysterious and sudden brain tumor in 1987, in case some of you have forgotten. Therefore, Casey could not testify and two of his secretaries, of course, swore that he did not leave the country in October 1980. You see, truth as a witness only applies to "you the people" and not "them, the puppet masters".

Well, Gregg showed up at the trial to testify, from his strange placement in South Korea (another entire story in itself and better told by Col. Gritz, undoubtedly). He simply swore that he was vacationing with his wife and daughter on the Delaware coast (somewhere private) at the time of the alleged Paris meetings. Gregg offered only a single photograph of himself on a beach as evidence and it was quickly accepted and set aside.

Funny thing, the photograph was challenged during the trial by Robert Lynott, a *retired weatherman* (and you might not be surprised at his *retirement*). After studying the weather forecasts and atmospheric maps for the area where Gregg said the picture was taken, Lynott testified that the picture was taken either at a different location or on another day. A jury is a strange collection of peers from time to time and this time, they believed Lynott more than they did Gregg. This is WHY you must always insist on a hearing by jury even if there is opportunity to tamper with the jurors, for it now is your only prayer of a fringe benefit of some small justice.

WHAT OF BRENNKE'S CASE?

He did a good job of showing that he and Rupp had worked for the CIA. He also marshalled a lot of evidence to demonstrate that all

intelligence agencies, including the CIA, lie to protect themselves. This was quite easy to do for they always lie to cover actions and it is an accepted fact; he was also well trained by them, himself, and he had friends who were also being put out of business by his conviction.

Brenneke and his attorney, however, only presented circumstantial evidence (for the major part) that the Paris meetings actually took place for all records were quickly buried and destroyed by the government and CIA operatives in the field.

No one ever testified to having definite knowledge that Brenneke and Rupp had been employed by the CIA. However, William Northrup, a colonel in the *Israeli military*, swore that he has known Brenneke for more than 20 years and has met him several times in the Middle East during covert operations that had been approved by the U.S. government. In addition, Harvey Wayson, an agent with the U.S. Customs Service in Portland, admitted using Brenneke as an informant as recently as February 1988. Brenneke himself testified that he had worked with Rupp on a number of CIA projects.

The easiest part of Brenneke's defense was establishing that the CIA LIES. Gregg admitted that he repeatedly lied as a matter of official policy while working for the CIA. He also testified at length about their agency's policy of "deniability", which involves using middlemen to keep covert operations secret. And Frank Snapp, a former CIA agent, testified that people like Brenneke are ROUTINELY USED to keep covert operations from being tied to the government. Snapp is the author of a book criticizing the CIA called DECENT INTERVAL.

No one walked away from the trial saying, "The government doesn't

do anything like that."

The Paris meeting information was less convincing, however. Brenneke testified that he attended the meeting with Casey and Gregg. The Oregon businessman admitted that he did not actually SEE Bush in Paris but was only told that the vice presidential candidate was there and preferred to not implicate his contact and information source.

Moreover, Brenneke and his attorneys did not offer any documents or other witnesses to back up the story about the Paris meetings. Brenneke repeatedly said that he was in Paris on a false passport (how does this hit you, Col. Gritz?), which he surrendered after the meetings. He has also said that he did not keep any hotel or meal receipts according to training procedures. Many of the other people who allegedly attended the meeting live in Europe or the Middle East (how interesting?). Brenneke did not have enough money to fly any of them to Portland for the trial. The intent is to always bankrupt the adversary and render him helpless to defend himself. This has been the full legal intent in the Ekker property case under way with my scribe.

Rupp was not called as a witness, because the defense feared O'Rourke would be able to discredit him with information from the *Denver fraud case*.

On the other hand, Brenneke and his attorneys were able to weave a compelling web of circumstantial evidence to support that the Reagan-Bush campaign was obsessed with the hostages in Iran, so much so that it was conceivable that some campaign officials might have tried to prevent their release. How safe do you feel now, if someone snatches you and makes you a hostage? How about those beloved POW's still

missing and sitting in rot in the foreign lands? Yes, Dharma, I know YOU are scared but we tend you most carefully, chela--the enemy will not be finding it easy to get you.

Most of the evidence of this type came from one, Richard Allen, a former Reagan-Bush campaign official who later served as one of President Reagan's national security advisers. Allen, who was subpoenaed by the defense, testified that he established a secret campaign committee to track the hostage situation in the fall of 1980. Allen provided the court with two internal committee memos, one written just before the alleged Paris meetings and the other written shortly after them.

THE MEMOS

The first memo, dated Oct. 15, 1980, was from Allen to Reagan, Casey, Edwin Meese (a longtime associate of Reagan who later became his attorney general) and campaign manager aide, Richard Wirthlin. It reported that a person referred to as "ABCXYZ" had said that the hostages could be released "at any moment, as a bolt out of the blue". Allen testified under oath that ABCXYZ was Edmund Muskie, who was then secretary of state under President Carter. Ah, the pudding thickens.

The second memo, dated Oct. 24, 1980, was from Meese to Allen, Casey and a number of other campaign advisers. It named Bob Garrick, a high-ranking campaign official, as the sole public spokesman on the hostage issue.

Finally, Northrup testified that some American-made weapons were shipped from Israel to Iran "within a fortnight" of the Paris meetings. In the context of the trial, Northrup's testimony strongly suggested that the shipments were the result of those meetings.

ATTORNEY FACTOR

Was Brenneke acquitted simply because he had a better attorney? It didn't appear to be the case during the trial. O'Rourke, the prosecutor, did a capable job of presenting the government's case against Brenneke. Before the trial began, Brenneke and his attorneys grouched that O'Rourke had prevented them from getting the vast majority of their supporting documents admitted into evidence. During the trial, O'Rourke repeatedly objected to the questions asked by the defense attorneys. U.S. District Judge Malcolm Marsh sustained well over 90 percent of the objections.

Brenneke's lead attorney was Mike Scott of Denver, the brother of Democratic U.S. Rep. Patricia Schroeder. In contrast to O'Rourke, Scott appeared disorganized and confused during much of the trial. He repeatedly called witnesses by the wrong names and appeared to have problems framing his questions. At one point, the judge actually stopped the trial so that Scott could read the rules about how questions should be asked in federal court. Now you understand the subtle and hidden bombardment to the nervous system of ELF beams. He did, however, have presence enough to ask many questions which would be blocked by O'Rourke for often you know what the answer is and know what someone will *imagine* the answer to be, and what they imagine is worse. This strategy served its purpose well, at any extent. Jury foreman Kristoff says he was bothered by O'Rourke's repeated objections. "You had a sense of total frustration when Mr. Scott was trying to get things into evidence and Mr. O'Rourke was objecting as much as he was," Kristoff said. Brenneke's other attorney, Richard Muller of Portland, appeared to be far more competent than Scott during the trial. He did not question so many

of the witnesses, however.

LEGALLY, WHAT DOES IT MEAN IF OFFICIALS OF REAGAN-BUSH CAMPAIGN STRUCK A DEAL WITH THE IRANIANS BEFORE THE 1980 ELECTION?

TO PUT IT MOST SIMPLY, IT MEANS THEY COMMITTED TREASON! U.S. law prohibits private citizens from negotiating with foreign governments--especially hostile ones. In October 1980, Bush and Casey were private citizens and the government of Iran was definitely considered hostile. It had seized 52 Americans and was under a trade embargo that specifically prevented it from receiving arms. Under the law, Carter could have legally negotiated with the Iranians because he was President. Bush and Casey could NOT.

More than that, however, it means Reagan, Bush and some of their advisers were willing to play with American lives for political purposes. The hostages were not released until a few minutes after Reagan was sworn in as president on Jan. 20, 1981--a full three months after the Paris meetings took place. I believe you can calculate the facts.

WHY WOULD GREGG--OR ANY CIA EMPLOYEE--CONSPIRE TO DEFEAT CARTER?

Many CIA employees were displeased with Carter, whom they regarded as naive and incompetent. Carter had also criticized the intelligence agency on numerous occasions. For example, he blamed the CIA for not predicting the Shah Mohammed Reza Pahlavi of Iran would be overthrown by the Ayatollah Khomeini. How many of you believe that was an oversight?

Although Gregg was nominally a Carter employee at the time of the

election, his loyalties soon became clear. Gregg testified at the trial that Bush offered him a job at the White House shortly after the 1980 election. Gregg was appointed to be the vice president's national security adviser without so much as an employment interview (its the only way to fly!), he admitted from the stand.

WHAT NEXT?

Given the verdict, will Congress hold hearings on whether the Reagan-Bush campaign broke the law to win the 1980 election? Come now, chelas, don't get silly. That is most unlikely. Republicans certainly don't want to raise the issue for obvious reasons. The Democrats have been very reluctant to even mention these questions as well. Brenneke says that the Democrats are nervous because the Israelis did much of the dirty work--both in the Middle East and Central America, where some of the profits from subsequent arms sales to Iran were diverted. The Democratic Party has long supported Israel, and many Democratic candidates have received sizable campaign contributions in return.

Don't count on anything coming of this. Mr. Bush visited Oregon on Monday, May 21, to campaign for fellow Republican, David Frohnmayr, the state attorney general, who is running for governor. How many of you heard outcry of wrong-doing or injustice or anything else, for that matter?

What will it take, readers, for you to hear? What will it take for you to take action? Your Constitution is on its way down the toilet--what will it take for you to respond? I know you desire to believe I tell you not truth! I am pained for you in that you must face the facts of your predicament but you are in indeed critical circumstance. Your neck is across the holding brace and the hand of your adversary is on the lever of the guillotine re-

lease. What will it require to cause you to hear and act? So be it.

Let us close this. Hatonn to stand-by. Saalomé

The Phoenix Journals:

Sipapu Odyssey
And They Called His Name Immanuel
Space Gate
Spiral To Economic Disaster
From Here To Armageddon
Survival Is Only Ten Feet From Hell
The Rainbow Masters
AIDS, The Last Great Plague
Satan's Drummers
Privacy In a Fishbowl
Cry of The Phoenix
Crucifixion of The Phoenix
Skeletons In The Closet
R.R.P.P.*
*Rape, Ravage, Pillage and Plunder of the Phoenix
Rape of The Constitution; Death of Freedom RRPP-Vol. II
You Can Slay The Dragon
The Naked Phoenix; How, Who, Why, Where, What, and When
The Bird was Plucked; A Guide To Do-It-Yourself Feather Growing

The price is \$10 per JOURNAL, 10% discount on orders of 4 or more.

California residents add 6.25% sales tax. Add shipping, UPS \$3.25 or U.S. Mail \$2.00 for first title and \$1.00 each additional.

Write for Quantity Discount.

Available from America West or your Local Distributor.

Express is \$20 per 13 issues (including back issues for the period).

Send orders and Payments to: America West Distributors, P.O. Box 986 Tehachapi, CA. 93581.