



PHOENIX JOURNAL EXPRESS

A bulletin commenting on appropriate current news events, clarification of portions of the Journals and answers of a general nature to questions not found in the existing Journals.

PHOENIX JOURNAL EXPRESS is published by America West Publishers, Inc. P.O. BOX 986 Tehachapi, CA. 93581. Subscription rate is \$20 per 13 issues or \$75 per 52 issues, First Class mailing. COPYRIGHT 1991 by America West Publishers, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction of this copyrighted material for private non-profit use is expressly encouraged, for commercial purposes it is strictly forbidden.

SEPTEMBER 1991 VOLUME XVI NUMBER 2

8/30/91 #1 - HATONN
FRIDAY

COMMUNISM FALL?

Dear ones, hold up--Hatonn here to point out some most uncomfortable truths.

I remind you that in the Soviet Union NOTHING is as it is being given to you. Worse--Communism is NOT. Russia, nor the Soviet Union, have EVER HAD COMMUNISM. To dissolve the Communist Party means NOTHING except that the Soviets have moved into a totally DEMOCRATIC DICTATORSHIP! Moreover, the one who expected to be Dictator--is not. Democracy can only work when there are honorable "choices". A "vote" means less than nothing if there is only one thing to vote for! Further, the "show" of the Soviet nations pulling away is exactly that--a show. It is simply a phase, now, of "divide and conquer". Again, I remind you to LISTEN. What is Cheney telling you about defense budgets? For one thing--the Russians still spend a massive amount on weapons and show no signs of lessening that amount--but rather, fully intend to up it as soon as the outside (from you) aid begins to flow. If YOU continue to fool yourselves, you are in serious trouble. Why do you think the

Prime Minister of Great Britain is visiting the vacation home of Bush? Worse, the Queen didn't even bother to come herself.

A little secret--the Elite all have to stay on the better side of Great Britain for the holes for safety are in New Zealand and Australia. The full intent is that the Northern Hemisphere will be radioactive--one way or another. Please attach the "Radiation Hazard USA" sheet and the "Fallout Patterns" to this document.

Now for truth in presentation. A U.S. Supreme Court statement was made regarding the lying and manipulation compiled from proven findings and written up as a portion of the American Communications Association v. Douds, 339 U.S. 382, 442. Let me quote:

In a 1986 New York Times-CBS News poll survey of 2,016 adults about incidents of the White House lying to the American public, only 1 percent of those surveyed thought that the administration told the truth all the time, 53 percent said the administration told the truth only some of the time, while 9 percent said it hardly EVER told the truth. Americans should question now whether the current administration under a former CIA Director's leadership can speak to us with more can-

dor than the last administration under Ronald Reagan, a former Hollywood actor.

We live in a highly manipulated world. Ideas are manipulated through purposeful distortions in the press and selective omissions in our all-pervasive public education (indoctrination) system. Economic and political realities are falsified by self-serving establishment controllers and their minions in the bureaucracy.

Our society is controlled by an "aristocracy", a small elite group of individuals who, through control of the government, have obtained special privileges in law and are thus able to live as parasites off the labor of others (mostly the hard-working American middle class) and amass large amounts of unearned wealth.

This current aristocracy operates covertly and by deceit. The bankers are the main (but not the only) element in this covert aristocracy. Using many of the standard principles of aristocracy (authoritarianism, statism, and the use of an intellectual priesthood to deceive the public) they've created a social system where robbery and exploitation are systematized and legalized and where resistance to the robber has been made a crime.

'It is not the function of our Government to keep the citizen from falling into error; it is the function of the citizen to keep the government from falling into error.' U.S. Supreme Court"

NOW IS THE TIME!

OK, it is done. Col. James Gritz is now officially announced as running for the office of President of the United States of America. He is "announced" under the shelter of the Populist Party--which, like all organized political operations, has many and varied flaws. Do not let this deter you from your duty as an American to get this man elected to office so that the flaws can be eliminated. You need votes and support--you need unification, for there is no difference whatsoever in the Democratic and Republican parties. In fact, all will now be done to pull down a dictatorship on you--the nation prior to the '92 election so that there will not be an election. It is up to you!

I am informed that America West will be offering backing and high visibility integration with the effort to elect Col. Gritz and I shall do everything allowed to see to it that he is elected for he is chosen of God for the task. I have quite a bit of "pull"--but if you--the people do not support this in a massive manner--why would you expect God to DO IT FOR YOU? I guarantee that I have a very nice and totally workable relationship with this man--which is boggling the minds of the UFO conspirators. I suggest that if you have ones in the "Little Gray Alien" with Cooper conspiracy--that you alert them. There are heinous things planned by the disinformers to terrify America and parts of the

world to finish pulling you into captivity--"against a common enemy". YOUR ENEMIES SIT IN THE LEADERSHIP OF YOUR NATIONS AND WITHIN THE BANKS--THERE ARE NO ENEMIES IN SPACE! WHAT YOU WILL BE GIVEN AS "PROOF" IS A WORSE LIE THAN ANY THEY HAVE CONJURED THUS FAR AND ONLY KNOWLEDGE OF TRUTH CAN KEEP YOU FROM FALLING FOR THE TRAP!

Let me say something to you as a people of world citizenship. You are in the sorting of God's people from the ones who wish to follow this evil empire. We are now dealing with God's adversary who is restricted to the mortal physical plane. The intent is to take the planet earth Shan and then move outward into space. IT WILL NOT BE ALLOWED AND THERE WILL BE MASSIVE EFFORT TO HOLD THE BEINGS OF EARTH HOSTAGE. WELL, SORRY ABOUT THIS--EARTH-MAN; GOD DOES NOT COMPROMISE NOR DOES HE NEGOTIATE. YOU EACH WILL BE EITHER ON ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER AND TO NOT MAKE A DECISION IS TO ALREADY HAVE MADE ONE.

All manner of terrible things are in the planning by the deceivers to perpetrate upon you in the guise of it being Space Brothers. No, but you will buy the tale in great masses. However, if you--the people of the United States of America fail to fall for the lie--you will prevail and the world shall be turned about for GOD WILL WORK WITH YOU IF YOUR INTENT IS TRUTH AND HONOR--HE WILL NOT DO IT FOR YOU. IF YOUR INTENT REMAINS TO CONTINUE THE BREAKING OF EVERY LAW OF

GOD AND THE CREATION--YOU ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT WHICH COMES UPON YOU AND IT SHALL COME UPON YOU IN A MOST DEVASTATING MANNER FOR WE WILL ONLY AIRLIFT OUT GOD'S PEOPLE. WE HAVE NO AUTHORITY TO REMOVE ANY WHO PRACTICE EVIL FOR THOSE ONES ARE NOT WELCOME IN THE BALANCED SOCIETIES OF THE COSMOS. SO BE IT.

If you turn around the government of the U.S.--the chosen people and place of God--you can reclaim your planet. What else do you have to do with even a tenth as much wondrous challenge and excitement? You are a bored and sleepy civilization--stop watching the play on your pretend screens and get into the game, dear friends. God has sent Us, His Hosts, to play with you--WE HAVE NO INTENTION OF COMPETING WITH YOU FOR OUR ENEMY IS THE ADVERSARY OF GOD AND WE NEED NOT A "THREE" RING CIRCUS. NO ONE SHALL BE COERCED OR FORCED--YOU WILL BE IN THE CHOOSING.

LAWYERS; YOU HAVE LAWYERS!

Oh my, yes you do! However, since you ones fail to know anything about your Constitution--I get to lay another heavy trip on you. How many Amendments do you have? Do you know that it is UNCONSTITUTIONAL FOR A LAWYER TO BE ELECTED TO CONGRESS? WHAT DOES YOUR THIRTEENTH AMENDMENT SAY? Well, NOW it reads: *"Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly con-*

victed, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction...etc."

Ah, but not so. THAT WAS THE 14TH AMENDMENT I JUST CITED. THE ORIGINAL 13TH AMENDMENT READS AS FOLLOWS:

*"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any **TITLE OF NOBILITY or HONOUR**, or shall, without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them."*
(Emphasis added)

Thank you, David Dodge, Researcher and Alfred Adask, Editor, *AntiShyster*, August, 1991:

These ones also give you a special version of the pledge of allegiance you might consider--for it says what the original MEANT and ceased to be accepted: *I pledge allegiance to the Constitution of the United States of America, and to the Republic that honors that Constitution, one nation, under God, with Liberty and Justice for all.*

MISSING 13TH AMENDMENT "TITLES OF NOBILITY" AND "HONOR"

In the winter of 1983, archival research expert David Dodge, and former Baltimore police investigator Tom Dunn, were searching for evidence of government corruption in public records stored in the Belfast Li-

brary on the coast of Maine. By chance [?--I think not!], they discovered the library's oldest authentic copy of the Constitution of the United States (printed in 1825). Both men were stunned to see this document included a **13th Amendment that no longer appears on current copies of the Constitution.** Moreover, after studying the Amendment's language and historical context, they realized the principle intent of this "missing" 13th Amendment **WAS TO PROHIBIT LAWYERS FROM SERVING IN GOVERNMENT....!**

So began a seven-year, nationwide search for the truth surrounding the most bizarre Constitutional puzzle in American history--the unlawful **REMOVAL OF A RATIFIED Amendment from the Constitution of the United States.** Since 1983, Dodge and Dunn have uncovered additional copies of the Constitution with the "missing" 13th Amendment **printed in at least eighteen separate publications by ten different states and territories over four decades from 1822 to 1860.**

In June of this year (1991), Dodge uncovered the evidence that this missing 13th Amendment had indeed been **LAWFULLY RATIFIED** by the state of Virginia and was therefore an authentic Amendment to the American Constitution. The evidence is correct and no errors are found--a 13th Amendment restricting lawyers from serving in government was **ratified in 1819 and REMOVED** from your Constitution during the tumult of the Civil War--deliberately!

Since the Amendment was **never LAWFULLY REPEALED, IT IS STILL THE**

LAW TODAY! Wouldn't you now guess that the implications are ENORMOUS?

The story of this "missing" Amendment is complex and at times confusing because the political issues and vocabulary of the American Revolution were different from your own. However, there are essentially two issues: **What does the Amendment mean? and, Was the Amendment ratified?** Lets look first at the "meaning".

MEANING

The "missing" 13th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States reads as above cited.

At first reading, the meaning of this 13th Amendment (also called the "title of nobility" Amendment) seems a bit obscure, unimportant. The references to "nobility", "honour", "emperor", "king", and "prince" lead you to dismiss this amendment as a petty post-revolution act of spite directed against the British monarchy. But in your modern world of Lady Di and Prince Charles, anti-royalist sentiments seem so archaic and quaint that the Amendment can be ignored. **NOT SO!**

Consider some real hard evidence of its **historical significance:** First, "titles of nobility" were prohibited in both Article VI of the *Articles of Confederation* (1777) and in *Article I, Sect. 9 of the Constitution of the United States* (1788); Second, although already prohibited by the Constitution, an additional "title of nobility" amendment was proposed in 1789, again in 1810, and was finally ratified in 1819. Clearly the founding fathers saw such a serious threat in "titles of nobility" and "honors" that anyone receiving

them would **FORFEIT THEIR CITIZENSHIP**. (How about Sir Schwarzkopf? and Sir Dr. Kissinger?) Since the government prohibited "titles of nobility" several times over four decades, and went through the amending process (even though "titles of nobility" were already prohibited by the Constitution), it's obvious that the Amendment carried much more significance for your founding fathers than is readily apparent to you today.

HISTORICAL CONTEXT

To understand the meaning of this "missing" 13th Amendment, you must understand its historical context--the era surrounding the American Revolution (which of course, you are not taught).

You tend to regard the notion of "Democracy" as benign, harmless, and politically unremarkable. But at the time of the American Revolution, King George III and the other monarchies of Europe saw Democracy as an unnatural, ungodly ideological threat, every bit as dangerously radical as Communism. [So, the obvious solution was to turn Democracy and Communism into a method of creating what they wanted in the first place, a Monarchy-Dictatorship, while calling it nice labels.] Just as the 1917 Communist Revolution in Russia [financed by you nice people's bankers just as the so-called Soviet Revolution this week is sponsored and financed by the same nice people in your behalf] spawned other revolutions around the world, the American Revolution provided an example and incentive for people all over the world to overthrow their European monarchies--or so it was interpreted.

Even though the Treaty of Paris

ended the Revolutionary War in 1783, the simple fact of your existence threatened the monarchies. The United States stood as a heroic role model for other nations that inspired them to also struggle against oppressive monarchies. The French Revolution (1789-1799) and the Polish national uprising (1794) were in part encouraged by the American Revolution. Though you stood like a beacon of hope for most of the world, the monarchies regarded the United States as a political "typhoid Mary", the principle source of radical democracy that was destroying monarchies around the world.

The monarchies must have realized that if the principle source of that infection could be destroyed, the rest of the world might avoid the contagion and the monarchies would be saved.

Their survival at stake, the monarchies sought to destroy or subvert the American system of government. Knowing they couldn't destroy you militarily, they resorted to more covert methods of political subversion, employing spies and secret agents skilled in bribery and legal deception--it was, perhaps, the first "cold war". Since governments run on money, politicians run FOR money, and money is the usual enticement to commit treason, much of the monarchy's counter-revolutionary efforts emanated from English banks.

DON'T BANK ON IT

The essence of banking was once explained by Sir Josiah Stamp, a former president of the Bank of England. I have given this before but it is such a dandy, I shall repeat it: *"The modern banking system manufactures money out of nothing. The process is perhaps the most*

astounding piece of sleight of hand that was ever invented. Banking was conceived in inequity and born in sin... Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them but leave them the power to create money, and, with a flick of a pen, they will create enough money to buy it back again.... Take this great power away from them and all great fortunes like mine will disappear and they ought to disappear, for then this would be a better and happier world to live in.... But, if you want to continue to be the slaves of bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, then let bankers continue to create money and control credit."

One of the past great abuses of your banking system caused the depression of the 1930's. Today's abuses are causing another and more massive depression than the world has ever known. Current S&L and bank scandals illustrate the on-going relationships between banks, LAWYERS, politicians, and government agencies (look at the current BCCI and BNL scandals running from high government officers to the Presidency itself involved in totally criminal activities) such as the Federal Reserve, the FDIC, and even the CIA. These scandals are the direct result of years of law-breaking by an alliance of bankers and lawyers using their influence and money to corrupt the political process and rob the public. (Think you're not being robbed? Guess who's going to pay the bill for the excesses of these bailouts?) As Oberli and Dharma track further and deeper into involved parties attached to this present property scam/scandal--they are finding other financial institutions involved and, as named in the investigation, find Salomon Brothers and other financial institutions who are kaput and haven't even been

made public--no wonder the FDIC and RTC are asking additional BILLIONS.

The systematic robbery of productive individuals by parasitic bankers and lawyers is not a recent phenomenon. This abuse is a human tradition that predates the Bible and spread from Europe to America despite early colonial prohibitions. (Remember the Protocols of Zion? Try the issue of Oct. 1920: No. 13: "We have already established our own men in all important positions. We must endeavor to provide the Goyim (non-Jews and including Judeans/Hebrews) with LAWYERS and doctors; the LAWYERS are au courant with all interest...", and 14: "But above all let us monopolize Education. By this means we spread ideas that are useful to us, and shape the children's brains as suits us." And then, 15: "If one of our people should unhappily fall into the hands of justice amongst the Christians, we must rush to help him; find as many WITNESSES AS HE NEEDS TO SAVE HIM FROM HIS JUDGES--UNTIL WE BECOME JUDGES OURSELVES!"

It is about time to again publish the Protocols, friends, but I have quite a bit of additional updating to do prior to that so let us hold up herein and not get sidetracked from the "missing" 13th Amendment--it is all tied in together, as you might have guessed by now. You may as well consider that there is total integration of the PROTOCOLS OF ZION, the CREMIEUX MANIFESTO, and the epistle emanating from the "PRINCE OF THE JEWS". Isn't it interesting that these were published in a Rothschild magazine? The "Prince of the Jews" was done in 1489 A.D. But then, who

would ever think, most especially Gentiles, of connecting these things with other documents emanating from Jewry, or with modern happenings? So be it!

When the first United States Bank was chartered by Congress in 1790, there were only three state banks in existence. At one time, banks were prohibited by law in most states because many of the early settlers were all too familiar with the practices of the European goldsmith banks.

Goldsmith banks were safe-houses used to store client's gold. In exchange for the deposited gold, customers were issued notes (paper money) which were redeemable in gold. The goldsmith bankers quickly succumbed to the temptation to issue "extra" notes, (unbacked by gold). Why? Because the "extra" notes enriched the bankers by allowing them to buy property with notes for gold that they did not own, gold that did not even exist.

Colonists knew that bankers occasionally printed too much paper money, found themselves over-leveraged, and caused a "run on the bank". If the bankers lacked sufficient gold to meet the demand, the paper money became worthless and common citizens left holding the paper were ruined. Although over-leveraged bankers were sometime hung, the bankers continued printing extra money to increase their fortunes at the expense of the productive members of society. (The practice continues to this day and offers "sweetheart" loans to bank insiders, and even provides the foundation for deficit spending and your federal government's unbridled growth.)

PAPER MONEY

If the colonists forgot the lessons of goldsmith bankers, the American Revolution refreshed their memories. To finance the war, Congress authorized the printing of continental bills of credit in an amount not to exceed \$200,000,000. The states issued another \$200,000,000 in paper notes. Ultimately, the value of the paper money fell so low that they were soon traded on speculation from 500 to 1000 paper bills for one coin.

It's then suggested that your Constitution's prohibition against a paper economy--"No State shall... make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a tender in Payment of Debts"--was a tool of the wealthy to be worked to the disadvantage of all others. But only in a "paper" economy can money reproduce itself and increase the claims of the wealthy at the expense of the productive.

"Paper money", said Pelatiah Webster, "polluted the equity of our laws, turned them into engines of oppression, corrupted the justice of our public administration, destroyed the fortunes of thousands who had confidence in it, enervated the trade, husbandry, and manufactures of our country, and went far to destroy the morality of our people."

CONSPIRACIES

Be patient--it may "seem" that I am not on the same subject but I am.

A few examples of the attempts by the monarchies and banks that almost succeeded in destroying the United States:

According to the *Tennessee Laws 1715-1820*, vol II, p.774,

in the 1794 Jay Treaty, the United States agreed to pay 600,000 pounds sterling to King George III, as reparations for the American Revolution (interesting?). The Senate ratified the treaty in **secret session** and ordered that it not be published. When Benjamin Franklin's grandson published it anyway, the exposure and resulting public uproar so angered the Congress that it passed the Alien and Sedition Acts (1798) **SO FEDERAL JUDGES COULD PROSECUTE EDITORS AND PUBLISHERS FOR REPORTING THE TRUTH ABOUT THE GOVERNMENT.**

Since you had **WON** the Revolutionary War, why would your Senators agree to pay **REPARATIONS** to the loser? And why would they agree to pay 600,000 pounds sterling, eleven years AFTER the war ended? It just doesn't seem to make sense does it? Especially in light of the Senate's secrecy and later fury over being exposed, **UNLESS YOU ASSUME YOUR SENATORS HAD BEEN BRIBED TO SERVE THE BRITISH MONARCHY AND BETRAY THE AMERICAN PEOPLE--THAT, DEAR ONES, IS SUBVERSION!**

The United States Bank had been opposed by the Jeffersonians from the beginning, but the Federalists (the pro-monarchy party) won-out in its establishment. The initial capitalization was \$10,000,000--80% of which would be owned by foreign bankers. Since the bank was authorized to lend up to \$20,000,000 (double its paid in capital), it was a profitable deal for both the government and the bankers since they could lend, and collect interest (usury) on, \$10,000,000 **THAT DID NOT EXIST.**

However, the European bankers outfoxed the government and by 1796, the government owed the bank \$6,200,000 and was forced to sell its shares. (By 1802, your government **OWNED NO STOCK IN THE UNITED STATES BANK.**)

The sheer power of the banks and their ability to influence representative government by economic manipulation and outright bribery was exposed in 1811, when the people discovered that European banking interests **OWNED 80% OF THE BANK.** Congress, therefore, refused to renew the bank's charter. This led to the withdrawal of \$7,000,000 in specie by European investors, which in turn, precipitated an economic recession, and the War of 1812.

There are other examples of the monarchy's efforts to subvert or destroy the United States; some are common knowledge, others remain to be disclosed to the public. There is, for example, a book called 2 VA LAW in the Library of Congress Law Library. This is an un-catalogued book in the rare book section that reveals a plan to **OVERTHROW THE CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT BY SECRET AGREEMENTS ENGINEERED BY THE LAWYERS.** THAT, DEAR ONES, IS ONE REASON THAT THE 13TH AMENDMENT WAS RATIFIED BY VIRGINIA AND THE NOTIFICATION 'LOST IN THE MAIL'. **THERE IS NO PUBLIC RECORD OF THIS BOOK'S EXISTENCE!**

Does this sound surprising? Perish the thought of "surprising". The Library of Congress has over 349,402 uncatalogued rare books and 13.9 MILLION UN-CATALOGUED RARE MANUSCRIPTS, LAWS AND

RATIFICATIONS! THERE ARE SECRETS BURIED IN THAT MASS OF DOCUMENTS EVEN MORE ASTONISHING THAN A MISSING CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT, I CAN WELL ASSURE YOU.

TITLES OF NOBILITY

In seeking to rule the world and destroy the United States, bankers committed many crimes. Foremost among these crimes were fraud, conversion, and plain old theft. To escape prosecution for their crimes, the bankers did the same thing any career criminal does. They hired and formed alliances with the best **LAWYERS** and **JUDGES** money could buy. These alliances, originally forged in Europe (particularly in Great Britain), spread to the colonies, and later into the newly formed United States of America. Just as with Dharma and Oberli's legal case--the adversary lawyer, Mr. Horn, simply removes any papers from the file which can aid and assist the defendants! When discovered, he then threatens all sorts of heinous consequences if his trick is revealed. What is this man's name? I thought you would never ask: It is spelled **STEVEN HORN.** ONE OF HIS THREATS IS TO "GET THEM" IF THIS INCIDENT IS REVEALED IN ANY OF THIS SO-CALLED "DHARMA'S" WRITINGS. WELL, OLD BUDDY--THEY HAVE NOTHING TO LOSE BUT I DO SUGGEST THAT MR. HORN DOES!

Remember the part of the Protocols about providing witnesses sufficient to win your case? Well, he did that too--but he outsmarted himself. The first hearing came with sufficient "provided" witnesses to swamp the court with liars. But, he had

presented a backup case petition which caused the Judge to disallow further proceedings at that time. So, along with the presentation of the City Clerk and City Treasurer as defendant's witnesses, the "liars" did panic and disappear. How handy, though, our attorneys have turned up one or two of them and we shall see how well they like lying NOW.

Despite their criminal foundation, these alliances forged in Europe generated wealth and, ultimately, respectability. Like any modern unit of organized crime, English bankers and lawyers wanted to be admired as "legitimate businessmen". As their criminal fortunes grew so did their usefulness, so the British monarchy legitimized these thieves by granting them **"TITLES OF NOBILITY"**.

Historically, the British peerage system referred to knights as "Squires" and to those who bore the knight's shields as "Esquires". (Isn't this fun?) As lances, shields, and physical violence gave way to more civilized means of theft, the pen grew mightier (and far more profitable) than the sword, and the clever wielders of those pens (bankers and lawyers) came to hold titles of nobility. The most common title was "Esquire" (used, even today, by lawyers!).

INTERNATIONAL BAR ASSOCIATION

In Colonial America, attorneys trained attorneys but most held no "title of nobility" or "honor". There was no requirement that one be a lawyer to hold the position of district attorney, attorney general, or judge; a citizen's "counsel of choice" was not restricted to a lawyer; there were no state or national bar associations. The only organization that certified

lawyers was the International Bar Association (IBA), chartered by the King of England, headquartered in London, and closely associated with the international banking system. Lawyers admitted to the IBA received the rank "ESQUIRE"-- A **"TITLE OF NOBILITY"**!

"Esquire" was the principle title of nobility which the 13th Amendment sought to PROHIBIT from the United States. Why? Because the loyalty of "Esquire" lawyers was suspect. Bankers and lawyers with an "Esquire" behind their names were agents of the monarchy, members of an organization whose principle purposes were political, not economic, and regarded with the same wariness that some people today reserve for members of the KGB or the CIA.

Article I, Sect. 9 of the Constitution sought to prohibit the International Bar Association (or any other agency that granted titles of nobility) from operating in America. But the Constitution neglected to specify a penalty, so the prohibition was ignored, and agents of the monarchy continued to infiltrate and influence the government (as in the Jay Treaty and the US Bank charter incidents). Therefore, a "title of nobility" amendment that specified a penalty (loss of citizenship) was proposed in 1789, and again in 1810. The meaning of the amendment is seen in its intent to prohibit persons having titles of nobility and loyalties to foreign governments and bankers from voting, holding public office, or using their skills to subvert the government.

HONOR

The missing Amendment is referred to as the "title of nobility" Amendment, but the sec-

ond prohibition against "honour" (honr), may be more significant.

The archaic definition of "honor" (as used when the 13th Amendment was ratified) meant anyone "obtaining or having an advantage or privilege over another". A contemporary example of an "honor" granted to only a few Americans is the privilege of being a judge: Lawyers can be judges and exercise the attendant privileges and powers; non-lawyers CAN NOT.

By prohibiting "honors", the missing Amendment prohibits any advantage or privilege that would grant some citizens an unequal opportunity to achieve or exercise political power. Therefore, the second meaning (intent) of the 13th Amendment is to ensure political equality among all American citizens, by prohibiting anyone, ***EVEN GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS***, from claiming or exercising a special privilege or power (an "honor") over other citizens.

This interpretation is quite true, little ones, and would be the key concept in the 13th Amendment. Why? Because, while "titles of nobility" may no longer apply in today's political system, the concept of "honor" remains relevant.

For example, anyone who had a specific "immunity" from lawsuits which were not afforded to all citizens, would be enjoying a separate privilege, an "honor" and would therefore forfeit his right to vote or hold public office. Think of the "immunities" from lawsuits that your judges, lawyers, politicians, and bureaucrats currently enjoy. As another example, think of all the "special interest" legislation your government passes: "special interests" are simply

euphemisms for "special privileges" (honors).

WHAT IF?

If the missing 13th Amendment were to be restored, "special interests" and "immunities" would be rendered unconstitutional. The prohibition against "honors" (privileges) would compel the entire government to operate under the same laws as the citizens of your nation. Without their current personal immunities (honors), your judges and I.R.S. agents would be unable to abuse common citizens without fear of legal liability. If the 13th Amendment were restored, your entire government would have to conduct itself according to the same standards of decency, respect, law, and liability as the rest of the nation. If this Amendment and the term "honor" were applied today, your government's ability to systematically coerce and abuse the public would be all but eliminated. Just IMAGINE!

CAN YOU IMAGINE A GOVERNMENT WITHOUT SPECIAL PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES?. How could you even describe it? It would be almost like a government--OF THE PEOPLE--BY THE PEOPLE--AND FOR THE PEOPLE! COULD IT POSSIBLY BE? THAT THE FOUNDING FATHERS INTENDED IT BE THAT WAY? IMAGINE: A GOVERNMENT WHOSE MEMBERS WERE TRULY ACCOUNTABLE TO THE PUBLIC; A GOVERNMENT THAT COULD NOT SYSTEMATICALLY EXPLOIT ITS OWN PEOPLE!

It's unheard of for it got deliberately undone before it could be done--it has never been done before--and you thought a poor soul called Benedict Arnold was

a traitor! You have never had a Constitutional government as intended--not ever in the entire history of the world!!!

So here comes the argument: Senator George Mitchell of Maine and the National Archives concede this 13th Amendment was proposed by Congress in 1810. However, they *explain that there were seventeen states when Congress proposed the "title of nobility" Amendment; that ratification required the support of thirteen states, but since only twelve states supported the Amendment, it was not ratified.* The Government Printing Office hops on the bandwagon to agree; it currently prints copies of the CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES which include the "title of nobility" Amendment as proposed--but **unratified.**

Even if this 13th Amendment was never ratified, even if research would be flawed and only twelve states voted to ratify the Amendment--wouldn't the possibility be wondrous to imagine? So what am I saying? Am I saying that it was a dream within one vote of historical utopia? No! I am saying that it WAS RATIFIED.

After a break we shall continue to prove it. And, dear ones of America and ones running for office with overwhelming odds against "housecleaning"--here are your tools to do the sweeping! NOW DO YOU SEE THE VALUE OF A GOOD OLD SPACE CADET WITH X-RAY VISION? IT SURELY DOESN'T SURPRISE ANY OF YOU THAT THIS PARTICULAR AMENDMENT WOULD "GET LOST"? SO BE IT.

8/30/91 #2 HATONN PARADISE FOUND?

Again, I give humble thanks and appreciation to David Dodge and Alfred Adask for jobs well done!

In 1789, The House of Representatives compiled a list of possible Constitutional Amendments, some of which would ultimately become your Bill of Rights. The House proposed seventeen; the Senate reduced the list to twelve. During this process Senator Tristram Dalton (Mass.) proposed an Amendment seeking to prohibit and provide a penalty for any American accepting a "title of Nobility" (RG 46 Records of the U.S. Senate). Although it wasn't passed, this was the first time a "title of nobility" amendment was proposed.

Twenty years later, in January, 1810, Senator Reed proposed another "Title of Nobility" Amendment (*History of Congress, Proceedings of the Senate*, p. 529-530). On April 27, 1810, the Senate voted to pass this 13th Amendment by a vote of 26 to 1; the House resolved in the affirmative 87 to 3; and the following resolve was sent to the states for ratification:

"If any citizen of the United States shall Accept, claim, receive or retain any title of nobility or honour, or shall, without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them."

The Constitution requires three-quarters of the states to ratify a

proposed amendment before it may be added to the Constitution. When Congress proposed the "Title of Nobility" Amendment in 1810, there were seventeen states, thirteen of which would have to ratify for the Amendment to be adopted. According to the National Archives, the following is a list of the twelve states that ratified, and their dates of ratification.

(Herein please note that the 16th (income tax) Amendment was truly never ratified! But here you have one which was truly ratified with proof thereof--and it was secretly heisted from your Constitution--are you getting a bit upset yet?)

Maryland, Dec. 25, 1810
 Kentucky, Jan. 31, 1811
 Ohio, Jan. 31, 1811
 Delaware, Feb. 2, 1811
 Pennsylvania, Feb. 6, 1811
 New Jersey, Feb. 13, 1811
 Vermont, Oct. 24, 1811
 Tennessee, Nov. 21, 1811
 Georgia, Dec. 13, 1811
 North Carolina, Dec. 23, 1811
 Massachusetts, Feb. 27, 1812
 New Hampshire, Dec. 10, 1812

NOW WATCH THE "NOW" USUAL SLEIGHT OF HAND AND FOOTWORK FOR IT HAS BECOME THE MODUS OPERANDI WHEN THE GOING GETS TIGHT: Before the thirteenth state could ratify, the **WAR OF 1812 BROKE OUT WITH ENGLAND**. By the time the war ended in 1814, **THE BRITISH HAD BURNED THE CAPITOL, THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS, AND MOST OF THE RECORDS OF THE FIRST 38 YEARS OF GOVERNMENT**. I'm sure the connection between the proposed "title of nobility" amendment which would close England out of the US government forever, and the War of 1812 BECOMES SELF-EVIDENT!

You have entered massive wars for far less--like Desert Storm in Iraq.

Four years later, on December 31, 1817, the House of Representatives resolved that President Monroe inquire into the status of this Amendment because all sorts of "strange" things were beginning to happen in your government. In a letter dated Feb. 6, 1818, President Monroe reported to the House that the Secretary of State Adams had written to the governors of Virginia, South Carolina and Connecticut to tell them that the proposed Amendment had been ratified by twelve States and rejected by two (New York and Rhode Island), and asked the governors to notify him of their legislature's position. (House Document No. 76)

(This, and other letters written by the President and the Secretary of State during the month of February, 1818, note only that the proposed Amendment had not YET been ratified. However, these letters would later become crucial because, in the absence of additional information, they would be interpreted to mean that the amendment was never ratified.)

On February 28, 1818, Secretary of State Adams reported the rejection of the Amendment by South Carolina (House Doc. No. 129). There are no further entries regarding the ratification of the 13th Amendment in the Journals of Congress; whether Virginia ratified is neither confirmed nor denied. Likewise, a search through the executive papers of Governor Preston of Virginia does not reveal any correspondence from Secretary of State Adams. *(However, there is a journal entry in the Virginia House that the Gov-*

ernor presented the House with an official letter and documents from Washington within a time frame that includes receipt of Adams' letter.) Again, however, no evidence of ratification; none of denial.

Whoopee! However, on March 10, 1819, the Virginia legislature passed Act No. 280 (Virginia Archives of Richmond, "misc." file, p. 299 for micro-film): *"Be it enacted by the General Assembly, that there shall be published an edition of the Laws of this Commonwealth in which shall be contained the following matters, that is to say; the Constitution of the (u)nited States and the amendments thereto...."* This act was the specific legislated instructions on what was, by law, to be included in the republication (a special edition) of the Virginia Civil Code. The Virginia Legislature had already agreed that all Acts were to go into effect on the same day--the day that the Civil Code was to be republished. Therefore, the 13th Amendment's official **DATE OF RATIFICATION WOULD BE DATE OF RE-PUBLICATION OF THE VIRGINIA CIVIL CODE: MARCH 12, 1819!!!**

The Delegates knew Virginia was the last of the 13 States that were necessary for the ratification of the 13th Amendment. They also knew there were powerful forces allied against this ratification so they took extraordinary measures to make sure that it was published in sufficient quantity (4,000 copies were ordered, almost triple their usual order), and instructed the printer to send a copy to President James Monroe as well as James Madison and Thomas Jefferson. (The printer, Thomas Ritchie, was bonded. He was required to be extremely ac-

curate in his research and his printing, or he would forfeit his bond.)

IN THIS FASHION, VIRGINIA ANNOUNCED THE RATIFICATION: BY PUBLICATION AND DISSEMINATION OF THE THIRTEENTH AMENDMENT OF THE CONSTITUTION.

Some argue that there is question as to whether Virginia ever formally notified the Secretary of State that they had ratified this 13th Amendment. Some have argued that because such notification was not received (or at least, not recorded), the Amendment was therefore not legally ratified. However, printing by a legislature is *prima facie* evidence of ratification.

Further, there is no Constitutional requirement that the Secretary of State, or anyone else, be officially notified to complete the ratification process. The Constitution only requires that three-fourths of the states ratify for an Amendment to be added to the Constitution. If three-quarters of the states ratify, the Amendment is passed. Period. The Constitution is otherwise silent on what procedure should be used to announce, confirm, or communicate the ratification of amendments.

Knowing they were the last state necessary to ratify the Amendment, the Virginians had every right to announce their own and the nation's ratification of the Amendment by publishing it on a special edition of the Constitution, and so they did.

Word of Virginia's 1819 ratification spread throughout the states and both Rhode Island and Kentucky published the new Amendment in 1822. Ohio first published in 1824. Maine ordered 10,000 copies of the Con-

stitution with the 13th Amendment to be printed for use in the schools in 1825, and again in 1831 for the Census Edition. *Indiana Revised Laws* of 1831 published the 13th Article on p. 20. Northwestern Territories published in 1833. Ohio published in 1831 and 1833. Then came the Wisconsin Territory in 1839; Iowa Territory in 1843; Ohio again, in 1848; Kansas Statutes in 1855; and Nebraska Territory six times in a row from 1855 to 1860.

So far, Dodge has identified eleven different states or territories that printed the Amendment in twenty separate publications over forty-one years. And more editions including this 13th Amendment are sure to be discovered for they ARE THERE WAITING!

So--you might be able to convince some of the people, or maybe even all of them, for a little while, that this 13th Amendment was never ratified. Maybe you can show them that the ten legislatures which ordered it published eighteen times (known) consisted of ignorant politicians who don't know their amendments from their...ahh, articles. You might even be able to convince the public that your forefathers never meant to "outlaw" public servants who pushed people around and accepted bribes or special favors to "look the other way". Maybe. But before you do, there is a lot of evidence to be explained.

**THE AMENDMENT
DISAPPEARS**

In 1829, the following note appears on p. 23, Vol. 1 of the *New York Revised Statutes*:

"In the edition of the *Laws of the U.S.* before referred to, there is an amendment printed

as article 13, prohibiting citizens from accepting titles of nobility or honor, or presents, offices, etc., from foreign nations. But, by a message of the president of the United States of the 4th of February, 1818, in answer to a resolution of the House of Representatives, it **appears** that this amendment had been ratified only by 12 states, and therefore had not been adopted. See vol. iv of the printed papers of the 1st session of the 15th congress, No. 76." (Emphasis added.) In 1854, a similar note appeared in the *Oregon Statutes*. Both notes refer to the *Laws of the United States*. 1st vol. p. 73/74.

It's not yet clear whether the 13th Amendment was published in *Laws of the United States*, 1st Vol., prematurely, by accident, in anticipation of Virginia's ratification, or as part of a plot to discredit the Amendment by making it appear that only twelve States had ratified. Whether the *Laws of the United States* Vol. 1 (carrying the 13th Amendment) was re-called or made-up is unknown. In fact, it's not even clear that the specified volume was actually printed--the Law Library of the Library of Congress has no record of its existence.

However, because the notes' authors reported no further references to the 13th Amendment after the Presidential letter of February, 1818, they apparently assumed the ratification process had ended in failure at that time. If so, they neglected to seek information on the Amendment after 1818, or at the state level, and therefore missed the evidence of Virginia's ratification. This opinion--assuming that the Presidential letter of Feb. 1818, was the last word on the Amendment--has persisted to this day.

In 1849, Virginia decided to revise the 1819 *Civil Code of Virginia* (which had continued the 13th Amendment for 30 years). It was at that time that one of the code's revisers (A **LAWYER NAMED PATTON**) wrote to the Secretary of the Navy, William B. Preston, asking if this Amendment had been ratified or appeared by mistake. (A most interesting resource for information at any circumstance.)

Preston wrote to J.M. Clayton, the Secretary of State, who replied that this Amendment was not ratified by a sufficient number of States. This conclusion was based on the information that Secretary of State J.Q. Adams had provided the House of Representatives in 1818, **BEFORE** Virginia's ratification in 1819. (*Funny thing--and take careful note: today, the Congressional Research Service tells anyone asking about this 13th Amendment this same story: that only twelve states, not the requisite thirteen, had ratified. Skunks in the wood-pile?*)

Note, however, that despite Clayton's opinion, the Amendment continued to be published in various states and territories for at least another eleven years (the last known publication was in the Nebraska Territory in 1860).

Once again the 13th Amendment was caught in the riptides of American politics. South Carolina seceded from the Union in December of 1860, signalling the onset of the Civil War. In March, 1861, President Abraham Lincoln was inaugurated.

Later in 1861, another proposed amendment, also numbered thirteen, was signed by President Lincoln. This was the only proposed amendment that was

ever signed by a president. That resolve to amend read: **"ARTICLE THIRTEEN, No amendment shall be made to the constitution which will authorize or give to Congress the power to abolish or interfere, within any State, with the domestic institutions thereof, including that of persons held to labor or service by the laws of said State."** (In other words, President Lincoln had signed a resolve that would have permitted slavery, and upheld states' rights.) Only one State, Illinois, ratified this proposed amendment before the Civil War broke out in 1861.

In the tumult of 1865, the original 13th Amendment was finally removed from your Constitution. On January 31, another 13th Amendment (which prohibited slavery in Sect. 1 and ended states' rights in Sect. 2) was proposed. On April 9, the Civil War ended with General Lee's surrender. On April 14, President Lincoln (who, in 1861, had signed the proposed Amendment that would have allowed slavery and states rights) was assassinated. On December 6, the "new" 13th Amendment loudly prohibiting slavery (and quietly surrendering states rights to the federal government) was ratified, replacing and effectively erasing the original 13th Amendment that had prohibited "titles of nobility" and "honors". Wasn't that about as clever as you can get?

SIGNIFICANCE OF REMOVAL

To create the present oligarchy (rule by **LAWYERS**) which you now endure, the lawyers first had to remove the 13th "titles of nobility" Amendment that might otherwise have kept them in check. In fact, it was not until after the Civil War

and after the disappearance of the 13th Amendment that the newly developing bar associations began working diligently to create a system wherein lawyers took on a title of privilege and nobility as "Esquires" and received the "honor" of offices and positions (like district attorney or judge) that only lawyers may now hold. By virtue of these titles, honors, and special privileges, lawyers have assumed political and economic advantages over the majority of U.S. citizens. Through these privileges, they have nearly established a two-tiered citizenship in this nation where a majority may vote, but only a minority (lawyers) may run for political office. This two-tiered citizenship is clearly contrary to Americans' political interests, the nation's economic welfare, and the Constitution's egalitarian spirit.

The significance of the 13th Amendment and its deletion from the Constitution is this: Since the amendment was never lawfully nullified, it is still in full force and effect and is the Law of the land. If public support is awakened, this missing Amendment would provide a legal basis to challenge many existing laws and court decisions previously made by lawyers who were unconstitutionally elected or appointed to their positions of power; it might even mean the removal of lawyers from your current government system.

At the very least, this missing 13th Amendment demonstrates that two centuries ago, lawyers were recognized as enemies of the people and nation. Some things never change.

THOSE WHO CANNOT RECALL HISTORY - - -

In his farewell address, George

Washington warned of "...change by usurpation; for though this, in one instance, may be the instrument of good, it is the customary weapon by which free governments are destroyed."

In 1788, Thomas Jefferson proposed that you have a Declaration of Rights similar to Virginia's. Three of his suggestions were "freedom of commerce against monopolies, trial by jury in ALL cases" and "no suspensions of the habeas corpus."

No doubt Washington's warning and Jefferson's ideas were dismissed as redundant by those who knew the law. Who would have dreamed your legal system would become a monopoly against freedom when that was one of the primary causes for the rebellion against King George III?

Yet, the denial of trial by jury is now commonplace in your courts, and habeas corpus, for crimes against the state, suspended. (By crimes against the state, I refer to "political crimes" where there is no injured party and the corpus delicti--evidence--is equally imaginary.)

I had a document handed into Dharma's hands not 15 minutes ago from the Christic Institute, entitled *Avirgan v. Hull Update*.

It starts off (and I shall write just a tiny portion): ***Ruling disregards evidence, denies right to trial by jury. On June 18 three judges of the 11th Circuit appeals court refused to reinstate Avirgan v. Hull, a civil racketeering lawsuit charging 29 members of a criminal racketeering enterprise with murder, destruction of property, drug trafficking, gun smuggling, money laundering and***

other crimes. The judges upheld two decisions handed down by Judge James Lawrence King of Miami: An order granting "summary judgments" in favor of the defendants and a subsequent ruling ordering the Christic Institute, General Counsel Daniel Sheehand and Plaintiffs Tony Avirgan and Martha Honey to pay more than \$1 million in punitive fines for allegedly filing the lawsuit "in bad faith". The Institute has asked all 11 judges of the appellate court to review the decision. If necessary, we plan to appeal to the Supreme Court....."

Just a bit more from this same case: ***Judge King's decision to halt proceedings shortly before the trial's scheduled opening date predicated on an argument unprecedented in law: that plaintiffs are NOT ENTITLED TO THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY UNLESS THEY SUBMIT ALL OF THEIR EVIDENCE TO THE JUDGE IN ADMISSIBLE FORM BEFORE THE TRIAL BEGINS.***

It goes on and on but I haven't space here to handle that subject also. In other words, however, you have to have and prove each of the accusations to the "judge" BEFORE the lawsuit can be filed. Grounds for objection: ***"A judge is prohibited from this conduct when a plaintiff has formally demanded a trial by jury."***

The authority to create monopolies was judge-made law by Supreme Court Justice John Marshall, et al, during the early 1800's; Judges (and lawyers) granted to themselves the power to declare the acts of the People "un-Constitutional", waited until their decision was grandfathered, and then granted them-

selves a monopoly by creating the bar associations.

Although Art. VI of the U.S. Constitution mandates that executive orders and treaties are binding upon the states ("...and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding."), the Supreme Court has held that the Bill of Rights is not binding upon the states, and thereby resurrected many of the complaints enumerated in the Declaration of Independence, exactly as Thomas Jefferson foresaw in "Notes on the State of Virginia", Query 17, p. 161, 1784:

"Our rulers will become corrupt, our people careless...the time for fixing every essential right on a legal basis is [now] while our rulers are honest, and ourselves united. From the conclusion of this war we shall be going downhill. It will not then be necessary to resort every moment to the people for support. They will be forgotten, therefore, and their rights disregarded. They will forget themselves, but in the sole faculty of making money and will never think of uniting to effect a due respect for their rights. The shackles, therefore, which shall not be knocked off at the conclusion of this war, will remain on us long, will be made heavier and heavier, till our rights shall revive or expire in a convulsion."

So, you await the inevitable convulsion.

Only two questions remain: Will you fight to revive your rights? Or will you meekly submit as your last remaining rights expire, surrendered to the courts, and perhaps to a "New World Order"?

There was an addendum to this information which must be added: Documentation has been sent as to five more editions of statutes that include the Constitution and the missing 13th Amendment.

These editions were printed by: Ohio, 1819; Connecticut (one of the states that voted against ratifying the Amendment), 1835; Kansas, 1861; and the Colorado Territory, 1865 and 1867.

These finds are important because: 1) they offer independent confirmation of these claims; and 2) they extend the known dates of publication from Nebraska, 1860 (Dodge's most recent find and herein mentioned as such), to Colorado in 1867.

The most intriguing discovery was the 1867 Colorado Territory edition which includes both the "missing" 13th Amendment AND the current 13th Amendment (freeing the slaves), on the same page. The current 13th Amendment is listed as the 14th Amendment in the 1867 Colorado edition.

Now in appreciation for this material I ask reprinting of the following:

This investigation has followed a labyrinthine path that started with the questions about how our courts evolved from a temple of the Bill of Rights to the current star chamber and whether this situation had anything to do with retiring chief Justice Burger's warning that we were "about to lose our constitution". My seven year investigation has been fruitful beyond belief; the information on the missing 13th Amendment is only a "drop in the bucket" of the information I have discovered. Still, the research contin-

ues, and by definition, is never truly complete.

If you will, please check your state's archives and libraries to review any copies of the Constitution printed prior to the Civil War, or any books containing prints of the Constitution before 1870. If you locate anything related to this project we would appreciate hearing from you so we may properly fulfill this effort of research. Please send your comments or discoveries to:

David M. Dodge, P.O. Box 985, Taos, New Mexico 87571."

Please, you other researchers, like Patrick B.--get on this and share up what you find. You will only turn this nation around through the LAW AND IT IS ALL THERE IF YOU BUT UNCOVER AND RECOVER IT. SALU.

I have only one more tid-bit to dump on you in the "you are had" category for this writing: In uncovering the mess with the conspiracy regarding Santa Barbara Savings/RTC/Bank of America fraud and deceit involving Dharma and Oberli--it is uncovered that the following practice is common and takes place with the RTC in massive proportion these days: A bank, say, Bank of America, buys from the RTC, Santa Barbara Savings--BUT--THEY ONLY GET THE GOOD ASSETS AND THE RTC (YOU-THE-PEOPLE) CARRY ALL LOSSES AND CONTESTED PROPERTY. IN OTHER WORDS IT IS COMPLETELY PRE-ARRANGED THAT THE BANK(ERS) GET ALL THE ASSETS AND YOU TAKE THE DEBTS! GOOD-LUCK, WORLD! Note that this property in question is already overshadowed by expenses against

its value two to one from litigation--but in the end you-the-people will hold the bag. By the way, once again, there is found to be a deadline (which is hidden from view) in which you must file an (unknown) form by a certain date or you are forever barred from any claim. Is it not about time you do something about this fraud and plague upon your lands?

I further wish to acknowledge a publication which I admire greatly for it is **DEDICATED TO RAISING HELL FOR LAWYERS!** If you have a good story please send it to them--we'll get around to it, too. If you can write, if you've got a personal story about the legal system to tell, an essay to publish on injustice, or a letter to the editor, they want it. They can't pay you for it--but freedom is worthy of giving unity. The editor urges you to write and not pussyfoot around--don't let the system scare you into silence--name names, send photo copies of relevant documents, pictures of yourself or the principal parties, and say what's in your heart as well as what's in your legal dictionary. They look for documents anywhere from letter-size on up to 2,000 word essays (Well, ours is about 300,000 words, so guess it will have to be in shorthand!).

YOU CAN CHANGE THINGS IF YOU WANT TO--OR YOU CAN SIT AND WAIT AND IT WON'T MATTER ANY MORE.

THESE PEOPLE CALL THEIR PUBLICATION: AntiShyster, 9794 Forest Lane, Suite 159, Dallas, Texas 75243. 1-800-477-5508. Their slogan: IT'S NATIONAL ATTORNEY WEEK--TAKE A SHYSTER TO LYNCH.

In the meanwhile, anyone wanting to write up this particular case in point--we will be delighted! We are most happy to give a whole bunch of names and places. You are going to find the same ones that continually pop up in the news--Bush, Reagan, Shea & Gould, Salomon Bros, etc. The network is so massive that a "clean sweep" with your Constitutional broom is all that will do it. God Bless!

Hatonn to clear.

OUR NEWEST JOURNAL

HUMAN

**THE SCIENCE OF MAN
THE SCIENTIFIC DEFINITION
AND PROOF OF
GOD**

**AND THE COSMIC ORDER
OF THE UNIVERSE**

**WHAT IS CREATION
AND HOW IT CAME TO
BE**

**PLEIADES CONNECTION
VOL. VII**

Violinio Germain & Gyeorgos
Ceres Hatonn/Aton

GOD (ATON) writes the introduction to this **very important JOURNAL** which gives us detailed information about this universe that has never before been given to hu-man.

We are given details of: How God creates His universe. - The details of reincarnation and what it actually is - The illusion of disappearance and reappearance - The illusion of repetition - **How and why God creates only one form** - The cube-spheres of space - Time: is it real or illusion? - What is motion? - Three faces of Israel - Energy is in mind and not in matter. - To control matter first

control self. - The Humanist Manifestos I & II.

Some of the many other topics are: Transient matter and omnipresent zero - There is **only one kind of electricity.** - Waves are motion. - **What is gravity?** - Immortality - Faith will not do your work. - God will work with you and not for you. - The misconceptions about prayer - Bodies are chemical machines.

There are many other very interesting topics covered.

We are also given information to keep us up to date on current very critical world affairs.

THE PHOENIX JOURNALS

Sipapu Odyssey
And They Called His Name Immanuel, I Am Sananda
Space-Gate
Spiral To Economic Disaster
From Here To Armageddon
Survival Is Only Ten Feet From Hell
The Rainbow Masters
AIDS, The Last Great Plague
Satan's Drummers
Privacy In a Fishbowl
Cry of The Phoenix
Crucifixion of The Phoenix
Skeletons In The Closet
R.R.P.P.*

*Rape, Ravage, Pillage and Plunder of the Phoenix
Rape of The Constitution
You Can Slay The Dragon
The Naked Phoenix
Blood And Ashes
Firestorm In Babylon
The Mossad Connection
Creation, The Sacred Universe
Pleiades Connection, Return Of The Phoenix Vol. I
Burnt Offerings and Blood-stained Sands
Shrouds Of The Seventh Seal
The Bitter Communion

Counterfeit Blessings
The Phoenix Operator-Owner Manual
Operation Shanstorm
End of the Masquerade
Matter Anti-Matter
Let There Be LIGHT Pleiades Connection Vol. II
I And My Father Pleiades Connection Vol. III
Murder By Atomic Suicide Pleiades Connection Vol. IV
Phone Home E.T. Pleiades Connection Vol. V
The Phoenix Express Vol's I & II (\$15)
The Phoenix Express Vol's III & IV (\$15)
The Phoenix Express Vol's V & VI (\$15)

The price is \$10 per JOURNAL, (EXCEPTING E.T. PHONE HOME with 2 tapes \$15 & EX-PRESS) 10% discount on orders of 4 or more. California residents add 7.25% sales tax. Add shipping, UPS \$3.25 and \$1.00 each additional or U.S. Mail \$2.50 for first title and \$1.00 each additional. Write for Quantity Discount.

Available from America West or your Local Distributor.


EXPRESS: U.S. is \$20 per 13 ISSUES, \$40 for 26, \$75 for 52, Canada 13 issues \$22, 26--\$44, 52--\$80, foreign 13--\$30, 26--\$60 52--\$110 (including back issues for current Volume).

Send orders and Payments to: America West Distributors, P.O. Box 986, Tehachapi, CA. 93581.

For MASTERCARD OR VISA orders, or book catalog and sample newsletter call 1-800-729-4131. For personal inquiries or other purposes, please call 1/805-822-9655.

**TRUTH WILL SET YOU
FREE**

DEADLY NUCLEAR RADIATION HAZARDS USA



Manmade low level radiation is all about us and IS causing cancer, leukemia, cataracts, premature aging, damage to unborn children, genetic mutations for generations to come, fetal and infant deaths. High level radiation from weapons making, nuclear explosions and nuclear war can bring an end to all life on earth. Plutonium was named for Pluto, the lord of hell. At present over 90% of the volume of manmade radioactivity results from the weapons program. By the year 2000 the worldwide production of long-lived radioactivity will be equal to exploding about 3 million Hiroshima bombs per year. Dr. John Goffman: "It violates minimum morality for any generation of humans to produce a radioactive legacy which IRREVERSIBLY endangers the future of all generations to come."

End the arms race—not the human race.

© L. Franklin - Ramirez. Permission to copy required if there are changes to statistics.

	NUCLEAR WEAPONS (Design, Testing, Production, Storage, and Army & Air Force Deployment Bases)		NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS Accounting for 0.2% High-Level Accumulative Radioactive Waste (not including Spent Fuel)
	NUCLEAR-CAPABLE SHIP AND SUB BASES		OPERABLE
	NUCLEAR TEST EXPLOSIONS		INOPERABLE/SHUTDOWN
	NUCLEAR INDUSTRIES (Weapons-Related)		UNDER CONSTRUCTION
	NUCLEAR INDUSTRIES (Commercial)		PLANNED
	MILITARY AND D.O.E. RESEARCH REACTORS (Operable)		UNIVERSITY RESEARCH REACTORS (Operable)
	MILITARY AND D.O.E. RESEARCH REACTORS (Inoperable) * Accounting for 99.2% High-Level Accumulative Radioactive Waste		UNIVERSITY RESEARCH REACTORS (Inoperable)
	RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS (Transportation Packaging, Decontamination, Remediation Storage)		URANIUM MINES, MILLS, TAILINGS
			PROCESSING FACILITIES (Uranium & plutonium)
			PORTS OF ENTRY—Uranium Ore and Spent Fuel
			RADIOACTIVE WASTE BURIAL GROUNDS (On Land and On Shore Long Term Storage)

Original Research from U.S. Government Sources:

Department of Defense (DOD); Department of Energy (DOE); Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC); Bureau of Mines. Information also drawn from "State by State Guide to Nuclear Facilities" Another Mother for Peace; the NARMIC Maps, American Friends Service Committee; "Nuclear America" War Resisters; "The Nuclear News Buyers Guide" American Nuclear Society.
Designed by James True and Carol Gerson, Graphic Artists.
Researched and prepared by Louise Franklin-Ramirez, Virginia Women for Peace and Gray Panthers.

you are already nuked! Major fallout will begin by next year from load already in space

(YOU ARE ALREADY NUKED! MAJOR FALLOUT WILL BEGIN BY NEXT YEAR FROM LOAD ALREADY IN SPACE.)
GYEORGOS HATONN)




Fig. 4.1. Simplified fallout patterns showing total radiation doses that would be received by persons on the surface and in the open for the entire 14 days following the surface bursting of 5050 megatons on the targets indicated, if the winds at all elevations blew continuously from the west at 25 mph.